

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,845	11/13/2001	Allan T. Koshiol	279.196US2	8510
21186 7	7590 10/21/2003		EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EVANISKO, GEORGE ROBERT	
P.O. BOX 293 MINNEAPOL	8 IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{C}				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/010,845	KOSHIOL ET AL.				
· ()	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•		George R Evanisko	3762				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period fo		VIC CET TO EVDIDE 4 MONTH	(C) EDORA				
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIFICATION. ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. Is (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication.	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	November 2001 .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) The	nis action is non-final.					
3)□							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 22-41 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
,—	Claim(s) <u>22-41</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
•—	The specification is objected to by the Examine		aminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (P10-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 22-32, drawn to a method of detecting an accidental parameter change, classified in class 607, subclass 63.
- II. Claims 33-35, drawn to an implantable pulse generator system, classified in class607, subclass 59.
- III. Claims 36-38, drawn to a method of programming a cardiac rhythm device, classified in class 607, subclass 30.
- IV. Claims 39-41, drawn to a cardiac rhythm management device, classified in class607, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I (process) and II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process not requiring detecting an accidental change in states of parameters, but for detecting intentional changes in states of parameters.

Inventions III (process) and II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed

Art Unit: 3762

can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus (II) as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process not requiring a cardiac rhythm management device, but for a spinal stimulation system. In addition, the apparatus (IV) as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process not requiring transmitting a signal from a medical device programmer, but for transmitting a signal from a magnet to change a parameter.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require detecting an accidental change in a parameter. The subcombination has separate utility such as a data logging system not requiring transmitting a signal from a medical device programmer, but transmitting a signal from a magnet to change a parameter

Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination as claimed does not require a sensor for sensing cardiac signals. The subcombination has separate utility such as cardiac rhythm device not requiring

Art Unit: 3762

receiving a signal from a medical device programmer, but receiving a signal from a magnet to change a parameter.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

IN ADDITION, IF GROUP I IS CHOSEN ABOVE, A SPECIES MUST BE SELECTED.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Embodiments 1-7, represented by the different ways to detect an accidental change, including an accidental deactivation, a non-programmer initiated change, a expiration of energy, execution of reset, termination of a program, use of a magnetic signal, and a change due to an external influence, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no claims that are allowable and generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3762

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to timothy Clise on 10/19/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R Evanisko whose telephone number is 703 308-2612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

('

GRE October 19, 2003